

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,222	06/01/2001	Eric W. Davison	206870	3995
22971	7590 03/02/2006		EXAMINER	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A	
ATTN: PATE	ENT GROUP DOCKE	TING DEPARTMENT		
ONE MICROSOFT WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DEDMOND	WA 09052 6200		0154	· ·

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/872,222	DAVISON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 De	ecember 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the confidence of the co	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be a second or the drawing(s) is objected to be a second or be a secon	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e			

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/09/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2154

4. Claims 1, 16, 17, 19 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lunsford et al. (6,982,962) (hereinafter Lunsford).

5. As per claims 1, 16, 17, 19 and 25, Lunsford discloses a method, computer-readable medium, for an OBEX (object-exchange, 270, fig 3) client to discover an accessible OBEX resource on a network incorporating routable communications protocols (elements of fig 1, col 5, lines 50-63), the method comprising:

listening on a multicast channel provided according to a routable network communications protocol for identification advertisement from an OBEX device (elements of fig 5; col 5, lines 32-50, col 6, lines 13-35);

receiving at least one advertisement on the multicast channel identifying an accessible OBEX resource (elements of fig 5; col 5, lines 32-50, col 6, lines 13-35);

storing information from the received advertisement (90, fig 2); and using the stored information to access the identified OBEX device (370, 5; col 5, lines 32-50, col 6, lines 13-35)).

Art Unit: 2154

6. Claims 1, 3, 16, 17, 19 and 25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schuster et al. (6,557,021) (hereinafter Schuster).

7. As per claim 1, Schuster discloses a method for an OBEX (object-exchange) client to discover an accessible OBEX resource on a network incorporating routable communications protocols (812, fig 8,Internet protocol, col 8, lines 11-18; col 8, lines 50-53; col 24, lines 12-15), the method comprising:

listening on a multicast channel provided according to a routable network communications protocol for identification advertisement from an OBEX device (col 5, lines 1-20; col 15, lines 1-7);

receiving at least one advertisement on the multicast channel identifying an accessible OBEX resource (1402, fig 14, see discussion, col 23, line 65, contd. col 24, line 1; col 5, lines 1-20; col 15, lines 1-7);

storing information from the received advertisement (elements of fig 7); and using the stored information to access the identified OBEX device (col 24, lines 54-67).

8. As per claim 3, Schuster discloses the identification advertisement is carried according to a protocol in the set consisting of: the Simple Service

Art Unit: 2154

Discovery Protocol and the Service Location Protocol (SIP, col 14, lines 37-67).

- 9. As per claim 16, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above.
- 10. As per claim 17, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above.
- 11. As per claim 19, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 17 and 3, above.
- 12. As per claim 25, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1, above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Art Unit: 2154

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 14. Claims 2, 4-15, 18, and 20-24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuster et al. (6,557,021) (hereinafter Schuster) in view of Megowan et al. (Object Exchange Protocol by Pat Megowan, version 1.2, January 1999) (hereinafter Megowan).
- 15. As per claim 2, Schuster fails to discloses the multicast channel is carried on a well-known port (well-known port is common knowledge, because IANA has assigned the port number 650 to the OBEX protocol, col 24, lines 18-36) provided according to the routable network communications protocol (Internet protocol, col 8, lines 11-18; col 5, lines 1-20; col 15, lines 1-7). However, broadcasting on multicast channel is carried on a well-known port (well-known port is common knowledge, because IANA has assigned the port number 650 to the OBEX protocol). For example, Megowan discloses the multicast channel is carried on a well-known port (well-known port is common knowledge, because IANA has assigned the port number 650 to the OBEX protocol, col 24, lines 18-36) provided according to the routable network communications protocol (TCP port number, page 43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Schuster with Megowan. The

Art Unit: 2154

motivation would have been to have a system build on object exchange framework to provide "Push" or "Pull" application, allowing rapid and ubiquitous communications among portable devices or in dynamic environments.

- 16. As per claim 4, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses the identification advertisement contains information expressed according to an extensible markup language definition (page 58).
- 17. As per claim 5, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses the identified accessible OBEX resource is in the group: an OBEX server, an OBEX service, and a second OBEX client (see discussion at page 37-39).
- 18. As per claim 6, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses the identified accessible OBEX resource is an OBEX server and the received OBEX resource identification advertisement lists OBEX services provided by the OBEX server (see discussion at pages 37-39).

Art Unit: 2154

19. As per claim 7, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses the identified accessible OBEX resource is an OBEX in the group: inbox service, file browser, and synchronization service (pages 8-11).

- 20. As per claim 8, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 7, above. In addition, Megowan discloses applying a filter to the at least one received advertisement and discarding advertisements that do not satisfy criteria of the filter (using header information, page 13 and 57).
- 21. As per claim 9, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8, above. In addition, Megowan discloses Globally Unique Identifier, geographical location, network hop count from the identified accessible OBEX resource to the OBEX client, address mask, and domain name (hop and Universal unique ID, page 13 and page 66).
- 22. As per claim 10, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses making a list of the identified accessible OBEX resources (see discussion at pages 37-39).

Art Unit: 2154

23. As per claim 11, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses formulating a discovery request asking OBEX resources to identify themselves (see discussion at page 37-39); and sending the discovery request over the multicast channel (see discussion at pages 37-39).

- 24. As per claim 12, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses the discovery request specifies a property desired in responsive OBEX resources (see discussion at pages 37).
- 25. As per claim 13, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2, above. In addition, Megowan discloses the desired property is expressed according to an extensible markup language definition (see discussion at pages 58-61).
- 26. As per claim 14, Schuster discloses the scope of dispersal of the discovery request is expanded by bridging the discovery request from one network to another network (page 33, section 6.4, SLP).

Art Unit: 2154

27. As per claim 15, Schuster discloses the scope of dispersal of the discovery request is limited by means of a network hop count (page 29, section 4.1, Adaptive Server Hierarchy Management).

- 28. As per claim 18, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 17 and 2, above.
- 29. As per claim 20, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 17 and 4, above.
- 30. As per claim 21, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 17 and 5, above.
- 31. As per claim 22, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 17 and 6, above.
- 32. As per claim 23, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 17 and 7, above.
- 33. As per claim 24, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 17 and 11, above.

Art Unit: 2154

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad A. Siddiqi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3976. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A. Follansbee can be reached on (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100